



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,830	10/24/2003	Matt Calkins	14917.0246US11/MS300390.3	1490

27488 7590 12/28/2005

MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)  
P.O. BOX 2903  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

SANTIAGO, ENRIQUE L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2671

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/693,830

Applicant(s)

CALKINS ET AL.

Examiner

Enrique L. Santiago

Art Unit

2671

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comair et al.

US patent no. 6,563,503 B1 in view of Cragun et al. US patent no. 6,937,950 B2.

-Regarding claim 1, Comair et al. teaches an animation infrastructure supporting timed modification of element property values (see column 9, lines 23-26 and 34-39), the animation infrastructure comprising: an animation object class providing a time-varying value definition (see column 9, lines 23-49) and including an interface 658 (see fig. 18, column 15, lines 1-7) supporting designating: animation behavior properties (see fig 7, column 10, lines 18-36); timing properties (see fig 7, column 10, lines 18-33); a set of commands controlling the progression of the animation (see figs. 2A, 6 and 9, column 9, line 66-column 10, line 16); a set of events for providing notifications relating to the status of the animation object (see figs. 6, 9 and 18, column 11, lines 9-65).

Comair et al. does not directly teach animation behavior properties comprising a to property specifying an ending animation value, a from property specifying a starting animation value, and a by property specifying a difference between the ending animation value and the starting animation value.

However in similar art Cragun et al. teaches said behavior properties (see fig. 5, column 8, lines 12-41). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the properties of Comair and Cragun, because it would allow the system to be configured to execute a notification program and perform an operation to determine an occurrence of a user-defined event, activating, according to user-specified configuration settings, at least one animated graphical object in response to the user-defined event, displaying the animated graphical object on the display, and modifying attributes of the displayed animated graphical object according to the user-specified configuration settings (see column 2, lines 27-44).

-Regarding claim 2, Comair et al. teaches an animation infrastructure further comprising an animation collection object class providing a container for a set of animation objects created from the animation object class (see figs. 2-5, 14 and 15, column 8, lines 28-51), the animation collection object class including an interface (see fig. 17, column 13, line 63-column 14, line 22) supporting designating: animation collection properties (see column 14, line 61-column 15, line 7) defining: the set of animation objects within an animation collection object (see figs. 3-7, column 8, lines 27-51); a current status of the animation collection object (see fig. 2, column 4, lines 20-34); and animation collection methods for: configuring the set of animation objects within the animation collection object (see figs. 14 and 15, column 13, lines 11-45); and retrieving a current animation collection value derived from individual values provided by the set of animation objects (see figs. 6, 9 and 14, column 13, lines 11-45).

-Regarding claim 3, Comair et al. teaches an animation infrastructure further comprising a key frame object class for specifying a key frame property within an animation object, the key

Art Unit: 2671

frame object class including: a set of properties enabling designating: a key spline; a key time; and a value (see fig. 15, column 13, lines 11-45).

-Regarding claim 4, Comair et al. teaches an animation infrastructure further comprising a key frame collection object class for specifying a set of key frame objects for specifying a sequence of frames within a timeline for an animation object (see fig. 15, column 13, lines 11-45).

-Regarding claims 5-9, Comair et al. and Cragun et al. do not directly teach an animation infrastructure wherein a float animation objects class provides a time changing floating-point value, a double animation objects class provides a time-changing double precision floating point value, a rectangle animation object class provides a time-changing top, left position of a defined rectangle, a color animation objects class provides a time-changing color value, and a Boolean animation class provides a time-changing Boolean value. However these functions are well known in the art, therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use said functions in combination with Comair et al. and Cragun et al., because it allows for more accurate modeling of the real world (see column 2, lines 18-31).

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US patent no. 6,448,971 B1

Art Unit: 2671

US patent no. 6,414,684 B1

US patent no. 6,057,833

US patent no. 5,754,189

US Pub. No. 2004/0189699 A1

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Enrique L Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-7648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ulka Chauhan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7782, can be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2671

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Faxed to: 571-273-8300

Hand carried deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314)

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Enrique L. Santiago

December 21, 2005

  
ULKA CHAUHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER